



## UNITED STATES ( ARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Paterit and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	vvasiiii!	gion, D.C. 20231	</th <th>M</th>	M
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	IED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/082,044	05/20/98	SHAH		N	SHAH-11	
Г		**************************************	_ 7		EXAMINER	
TANATA LI LIT	TT	TM02/05	22	T: T 6 11 1	LC.	r
DAVID H HITT HITT CHWANG & GAINES				DINH.	PAPER NUMBER	$\neg \dots V$
225 UNIVER						-119
275 WEST C	AMPBELL RD			2155		
RICHARDSON	TX 75080			DATE MAILED:		
					05/22/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding:

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

1- File Copy

## Application No.

Dinh Khanh

09/082,044

**Advisory Action** 

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

2155

Shad



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

\_ FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. THE REPLY FILED May 2, 2001 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires THREE months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ex ap se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . □	
_	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)  would be allowable if submitted in a
5.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
5. □ 6. ⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The applicant's arguments are found not persuasive.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
5. □ 6. ☒ 7. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\mathbb{X}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \( \textit{The applicant's arguments are found not persuasive.} \)  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
5. □ 6. ☒ 7. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The applicant's arguments are found not persuasive.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
5. □ 6. ☒ 7. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The applicant's arguments are found not persuasive.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed:
5. □ 6. ☒ 7. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The applicant's arguments are found not persuasive.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

11. Other: